



# DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

AMERICA'S COMBAT LOGISTICS SUPPORT AGENCY



## PDC 449

### JPIWG Related Background Discussions

April 18, 2013



# JPIWG Action Item Status

## Excerpt from March 10, 2011, J PIWG Meeting Minutes:

### **m. Tobyhanna Depot - Problem with Assets in SCC 'M'**

DDC reported a problem regarding Navy material at Tobyhanna Army Maintenance Depot on DSS distribution depot record in SCC "M". As noted above, DSS had to process a DAC to "move" the materiel from depot storage to maintenance because no MRO was sent to DSS by the owner. DSS would like a material release order (MRO) to move the material off the distribution depot record (since the materiel is no longer in their custody) and to the appropriate owner account.

This accountability and visibility problem occurs when Navy sends items to DLA Tobyhanna distribution depot stock. When the materiel is scheduled for maintenance, DLA has to 'DAC' the materiel to SCC 'M' with a location identification showing it at Tobyhanna Distribution Depot. However, the materiel is no longer in the distribution depot custody and DLA is not updated with a clean audit trail of what eventually happens to the maintenance item (returned in other condition codes or condemned) unless it comes back to DLA in SCC 'A'. This leads to DLA losing visibility and sometimes, possibly having to write-off the item.

## Excerpt from April 12, 2013 J PWIG Action Item Tracking Sheet:

	No	Reference	Action Item	Responsibility	Target Due Date	Status	Notes
			Meeting March 10, 2011				
M a r c h  1 0 ,  2 0 1 1	12	Minutes § m. Pg. 6	Request Army review materiel incorrectly coded SCC 'M', while end item may have been sold and issued elsewhere and discuss with materiel owners about proper MRO procedure until an ADC uniformly addresses the issue, and report findings/action taken to the JPIWG Chair and the DLA JPIWG representative, Mr. Gary Ziegler, by March 31, 2011.	Army	4/14/2012	Open	NOTE: Action is to have an operational solution and not wait for an ADC fix. 1/11/2012: DLA spoke to the need for following proper, existing MILSTRIP/MILSTRAP procedures being documented in PDC 449, which eliminates use of DIC DAC Inventory Adjustment as a means for "moving" materiel to maintenance since this is not acceptable when the custodial activity has accountability for the item under DOD policy, and focuses on the existing process for issue and receipt to move materiel, providing a legitimate audit/accountability trail as an immediate step until the ADC establishes a programmatic solution to tighten to guard against incorrect materiel coding. 10/23/2012: Army Supply PRC rep responded that he is addressing the issue with Tobyhanna.



# D9A Attributed as Losses Due to Condition Code M After a Period of Time.

ARMY CO-LOCATED CC-'M' LOSS ADJUSTMENTS			
SITE	Count of NIIN	Sum of ADJ_QTY	Sum of ADJ_DOLLAR_VALUE
DLA Distribution Corpus Christi TX	9	22	\$801,861.00
Grand Total	9	22	\$801,861.00

## Caveats:

- Not conclusive that these can be directly attributable to the maintenance consumption of these assets, but it is the closest we can get to that perception
- Limited to data pool to draw on